WorkOrder #:277987.017
Case 1:17-cv-03139-LGS-SDA Document 251-1 Filed 04/11/19 Due 104/15/2019 9:00AM
20970 Warner Center Lane. Suite C

Woodland Hills, CA 91367

Phone: (818) 878-9227 / Fax: (818) 878-9851

NOTICE TO PARTIES BEING SUBPOENAED

TO THE CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS OF:

FXDirectDealer, LLC c/o Annemarie Caiati 525 Washington Boulevard, 14th Floor Jersey City, NJ 07310

RECORDS REGARDING:

James Contant, et al. v. Bank of America Corporation, et al. DOB:

SSN:

- 1) Remember to sign the enclosed Declaration of Custodian of Records form
- 2) Please include our work order number 277987.017, on all correspondence.
- 3) If radiological films are requested, a complete list of breakdown is required prior to production of films.

You may satisfy the provisions of this subpoena by complying with any one of the following instructions:

- Allow U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT to photocopy the requested records.
- 2) Appear at the scheduled time and place, bringing with you the originals of all of the records described in the subpoena.
- 3) Mail your response to our attention, taking the following steps:
 - a. Copy the requested records (or duplicate the requested items or materials). If no such records or materials, go to step c.
 - b. Contact U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT prior to sending the records if there are any additional fees for producing the records or materials.
 - c. Complete the declaration form(the last page in the subpoena packet sent to you) to indicate the records and/or items being sent, or to indicate that you have none of the records and/or requested if they do not exist.
 - d. Mail records to: US Legal Support, 20970 Warner Center Lane, Suite C, Woodland Hills, CA 91367. Please make sure to include our reference number (found in the upper right hand corner of this notice) on all correspondence you send.

WHEN THE AFOREMENTIONED RECORDS ARE AVAILABLE TO BE COPIED, PLEASE CONTACT OUR OFFICE.

IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS REGARDING THE ATTACHED SUBPOENA OR HOW TO RESPOND, PLEASE FEEL FREE TO GIVE US A CALL, (818) 878-9227.

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpocna to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

FX SOLUTIONS LLC

JAMES CON	TANT, et al.)			
Plais V)	Civil Action No.	17 CIV. 3139 (LGS)	À
BANK OF AMERICA C	ORPORATION, et al.)	534		
Defen	ndant)			
	ENA TO PRODUCE TO PERMIT INSPEC				
To: FXDIRECTDEALE	ER LLC c/o Annemarie	e Caiati, 525 Wa	shington Blvd, Fl 1	4th, Jersey City, NJ 07310	-1606
	(Name o	f person to whom th	is subpoena is directed	1)	
documents, electronically s material: See Attachment	tored information, or			place set forth below the fo opying, testing, or sampling	
Place: Peiffer Wolf Carr &	Kane		Date and Time:		
4 Embarcadero Ce San Francisco, CA	nter, Suite 1400	(A)	9:0	0 am on April 15, 2019	
☐ Inspection of Prem other property possessed or may inspect, measure, surv	controlled by you at	the time, date, a	nd location set fort operty or any desig	he designated premises, land he below, so that the request nated object or operation o	ting party
Place:			Date and Time:		
The following prov Rule 45(d), relating to your respond to this subpoena ar	r protection as a perso	n subject to a su	bpoena; and Rule 4	lating to the place of comp 45(e) and (g), relating to yo	liance; our duty to
Date: March 7, 2019					
× (CLERK OF COURT	*	OR	s/ Tracey Cowan	*
	Signature of Clerk	or Deputy Clerk		Attorney's signature	
The name, address, e-mail	address, and telephon	e number of the	attorney represent	ing (name of party) ues or requests this subpoei	na, are:
			, wno issi	ues of requests this subpoet	a.c.

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things or the inspection of premises before trial, a notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 17 CIV. 3139 (LGS)

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

	bpoena for (name of individual and title, if an		
te)		4	81
☐ I served the s	ubpoena by delivering a copy to the nan	ned person as follows:	
		on (date)	; or
☐ I returned the	subpoena unexecuted because:	У.	
Unless the subpotendered to the v	oena was issued on behalf of the United witness the fees for one day's attendance	States, or one of its officers of and the mileage allowed by	agents, I have also law, in the amount o
\$			N ₂₈
ees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a tot	al of \$ 0
ees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a tot	al of \$ 0
	for travel and \$ penalty of perjury that this information	147	al of \$ 0
I declare under		147	al of \$ 0
I declare under		147	al of \$ 0
ees are \$ I declare under		s true.	al of \$ 0
I declare under		s true. Server's signature	al of \$ 0

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpocna to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action(Page 3)

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), (e), and (g) (Effective 12/1/13)

(c) Place of Compliance.

(1) For a Trial, Hearing, or Deposition. A subpoena may command a person to attend a trial, hearing, or deposition only as follows:

(A) within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; or

(B) within the state where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, if the person

(i) is a party or a party's officer; or

(ii) is commanded to attend a trial and would not incur substantial expense.

(2) For Other Discovery. A subpoena may command:

(A) production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; and

(B) inspection of premises at the premises to be inspected.

(d) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena; Enforcement.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The court for the district where compliance is required must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction—which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees—on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

- (A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.
- (B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing, or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:

(i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an order compelling production or inspection.

(ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from

significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

- (A) When Required. On timely motion, the court for the district where compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that:
 - (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c);
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or

(iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

- (B) When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the court for the district where compliance is required may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:
- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or

(ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.

(C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(d)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:

(i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be

therwise met without undue hardship; and

(ii) ensures that the subpocuaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(e) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

(1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information. These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:

(A) Documents. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.

(B) Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.

(C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored

information in more than one form.

(D) Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

(A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:

(i) expressly make the claim; and

(ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.

(B) Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for the district where compliance is required for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(g) Contempt.

The court for the district where compliance is required—and also, after a motion is transferred, the issuing court—may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or an order related to it.

EXHIBIT A – TO SUBPOENA FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO FXDIRECTDEALER LLC

DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS

In addition to the definitions set forth in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the following definitions apply to each request contained herein, and are deemed incorporated in each request:

- 1. "You," "Your," or "Your Company" means <u>FXDIRECTDEALER LLC</u>, and any officers, directors, employees, agents or other representatives.
- 2. "FX" means foreign exchange or foreign currency exchange,
- 3. "FX transaction" or "FX transactions" means any spot FX transaction, forward, swap, future, option, or any other FX transaction or instrument the trading or settlement value of which is related in any way to FX rates.
- 4. "Defendants" means Bank of America Corporation; Bank of America, N.A.; Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc.; The Bank of Tokyo Mitsubishi UFJ Ltd.; Barclays Bank PLC; Barclays Capital Inc.; BNP Paribas Group; BNP Paribas North America, Inc.; BNP Paribas Securities Corp.; BNP Paribas Prime Brokerage, Inc.; Citigroup Inc.; Citibank, N.A.; Citicorp; Citigroup Global Markets Inc.; Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC; Deutsche Bank AG; Deutsche Bank Securities Inc.; The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.; Goldman, Sachs & Co.; HSBC Bank PLC; HSBC North America Holdings, Inc.; HSBC Bank USA, N.A.; HSBC Securities (USA) Inc.; JPMorgan Chase & Co.; JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.; Morgan Stanley; Morgan Stanley & Co., LLC; Morgan Stanley & Co. International PLC; RBC Capital Markets LLC; The Royal Bank of Scotland PLC; RBS Securities Inc.; Societe Generale S.A.; Standard Chartered Bank; UBS AG; UBS Group AG; and UBS Securities LLC.

INSTRUCTIONS

- 1. Unless otherwise noted, the relevant time period of these document requests is December 1, 2007 through December 31, 2015 (the "Relevant Time Period").
- 2. In producing documents, you are to furnish all documents or things in your possession, custody or control, regardless of whether such documents are possessed directly by you or your employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, affiliates, investigators or by your attorneys or their employees, agents or investigators.
- 3. Documents shall be produced in such fashion as to identify the department, branch or office in whose possession they were located and, where applicable, the natural person in whose possession they were found (*i.e.*, the document custodian) and the business address of each document custodian.
- 4. Electronically stored information and data should be produced in compliance with the formats, procedures, and protocols set forth in the Stipulation and Order Establishing the

Protocol for the Production of Documents and Electronically Stored Information ("ESI"), which is attached as Appendix A.

REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

- 1. Documents or data sufficient to identify the manner by which Defendants offer FX bidask quotes to You, including all algorithms or computer models used by You to collect and aggregate Defendants' bid-ask quotes, prices, spreads, markups and/or margins.
- 2. Documents or data sufficient to identify FX bid-ask quotes for all currency pairs listed in Appendix B offered by You to Your retail customers in as small a time interval as available (e.g. microseconds).
- 3. Your transaction data identifying all FX transactions for all currency pairs listed in Appendix B between You and any Defendant, including:
 - a. the Defendant,
 - b. Your corresponding retail customer,
 - c. FX product type,
 - d. currency pair,
 - e. the unit price,
 - f. units,
 - g. total amount,
 - h. currency in which price and amount are specified,
 - i. date and time of the transaction, and
 - i. date and time of the transaction fulfillment.
- 4. Your transaction data identifying all FX transactions for all currency pairs listed in Appendix B between You and Your retail customers who, during the Relevant Time Period, identified their state of residence to You as Arizona, California, Florida, Illinois, Massachusetts, Minnesota, New York, North Carolina, including:
 - a. the customer,
 - b. the corresponding Defendant,
 - c. FX product type,
 - d. currency pair,
 - e. the unit price,
 - f. units,

- g. total amount,
- h. currency in which price and amount are specified,
- i. date and time of the transaction, and
- j. date and time of the transaction fulfillment.
- 5. For each of Your retail customers identified in response to Request No. 4 above, documents or data sufficient to identify the customer contact information, including but not limited to the customer name, mailing address, phone number, and email address during the period from 2007 to the present.
- 6. All contracts or agreements in writing between You and any Defendant regarding FX trading or FX transactions.
- 7. Documents sufficient to identify the form of all agreements or contracts between You and any retail customer identified in response to Request No. 4 regarding FX trading for FX transactions.
- 8. Documents or data sufficient to identify and describe the manner in which you set your FX transaction prices offered to Your retail customers identified in response to Request No. 4, including all algorithms or computer models used to set bid-ask quotes, prices, spreads, markups and/or margins for such FX transactions.
- 9. Documents or data sufficient to identify and describe all algorithms, models, information systems, or other processes used by You to monitor, analyze, and/or forecast FX transaction prices.
- 10. Documents or data sufficient to identify and describe all of Your forecasts for FX transaction prices.
- 11. Documents sufficient to identify the percentage of Your retail customers (measured by FX transaction dollar volume) from each State in the United States for each year from 2007-2015.
- 12. Documents sufficient to identify Your application program interfaces ("API") used to connect to and transfer data with each Defendant.
- 13. Documents sufficient to identify your application program interfaces ("API") used to connect to and transfer data with Your retail customers.
- 14. Documents sufficient to identify all trading, execution, and clearing software and/or other similar information systems You used to conduct FX transactions with Defendants.
- 15. Documents sufficient to identify all trading, execution, and clearing software and/or other similar information systems You used to conduct FX transactions with your retail customers.

- 16. Documents sufficient to identify Your aggregate or net FX position exposure for FX transactions by unique currency pair with Defendants.
- 17. Documents sufficient to identify Your aggregate or net FX position exposure for FX transactions by unique currency pair with your retail customers identified in response to Request No. 4.
- 18. Documents sufficient to identify and describe Your methods or processes for neutralizing or hedging Your net FX position exposure between both the Defendants and Your retail customers identified in response to Request No. 4.
- 19. Documents sufficient to identify and describe all conditions or factors that caused or may have caused Your methods or processes for neutralizing or hedging Your net FX position exposure in to vary and how the methods or processes differed for each such condition or factor between both the Defendants and with your retail customers identified in response to Request No. 4.

APPENDIX A

Case 1:17-cv-03139-LGS-SDA Document 251-1 Filed 04/11/19 Page 10 of 46

Case 1:15-cv-04926-LGS Document 49 Filed 01/17/17

DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 1/17/17

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE FOREIGN EXCHANGE BENCHMARK RATES ANTITRUST LITIGATION

This document relates to: All Actions

No. 13-cv-7789-LGS

New York, New York January 17, 2017

SO ORDERED

HON. LORNA G. SCHOFIELD UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER ESTABLISHING THE PROTOCOL FOR THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION ("ESI")

Pursuant to the agreement reached between Plaintiffs and Defendants herein, this Court adopts and orders the following Protocol relating to the Production of Documents and Electronically Stored Information in these Proceedings, which binds all parties and their counsel of record in this Action, whether they currently are involved or become so in the future (collectively, the "Parties"). The failure of this Protocol to address any particular issue is without prejudice to any position that a Party may take on that issue.

I. **DEFINITIONS**

- a. "Action" means the above-captioned matter, and any and all cases consolidated or coordinated with it.
- b. "Document" and "Electronically Stored Information" ("ESI") shall have the same meaning as the usage of these terms in Civil Rule 26.3(c)(2) of the Local District Rules and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34(a)(l)(A).

- c. "Email" means an electronic means for sending, receiving, and managing communications via different structured data applications (email client software), including, but not limited to, Microsoft Outlook, Google Gmail, Yahoo Mail, or Lotus Notes, and the file created or received by such means, though the inclusion of any platform, program, client, or software as an example herein will not obligate any Party to collect such ESI.
- d. "Instant Messages" means communications involving immediate correspondence between two or more users sent via chat client or SMS, including but not limited to, Bloomberg Chat, Google Talk, WhatsApp, Yahoo! Messenger, AOL Instant Messenger, Blackberry Messenger, ICQ, Pidgin, Line, Audium, Trillian, or any proprietary instant messaging system, though the inclusion of any platform, program, client, or software as an example herein will not obligate any Party to preserve or collect such ESI.
- e. "Native Format" means and refers to the file structure of a document created by the original creating application (in contrast to a Static Image, which is a representation of ESI produced by converting a native file into a standard image format capable of being viewed and printed on standard computer systems, such as .tiff or .pdf).
- f. "Metadata" means (i) information associated with or about a file that is not ordinarily viewable or printable from the application that generated, edited, or modified such native file which describes the characteristics, origins, or usage or validity of the electronic file; and (ii) information generated automatically by the operation of a computer

or other information technology system when a native file is created, modified, transmitted, deleted, saved, or otherwise manipulated by a user of such system.

- g. "Load File" means an electronic file containing information identifying a set of paper-scanned images or processed ESI and indicating where individual pages or files belong together as documents, including attachments, and where each document begins and ends. A Load File will also contain data relevant to the individual Documents, including extracted and user-created Metadata, as well as OCR or Extracted Text, should such data be available.
- h. "OCR" means optical character recognition technology which is created by software used in conjunction with a scanner that is capable of reading text-based paper/hard copy documents and making such documents searchable using appropriate software.
- i. "Extracted Text" means the text extracted from a native document, and includes all header, footer, and document body information, including any hidden content, when available. A "Text File" is a file containing the full multi-page text of native or near-native files extracted directly from the native file, or, in the case of paper/hard copy documents subject to OCR, a file containing the text resulting from the OCR.
- j. "Media" means an object or device, including but not limited to, a disc, tape, computer, or other device, on which data is or was stored.
- k. "Parties" collectively shall mean all named parties to any action in these Proceedings, including any Party added or joined to any complaint in these Proceedings, as

Case 1:17-cv-03139-LGS-SDA Document 251-1 Filed 04/11/19 Page 13 of 46
Case 1:15-cv-04926-LGS Document 49 Filed 01/17/17 Page 4 of 34

well as named parties to actions that may be consolidated into or coordinated with the above-captioned Action.

- 1. "Production" or "Produced" includes any exchange of Documents or Electronically Stored Information between the Parties, whether voluntarily or in response to a formal or informal request.
- m. "Search Term" means a combination of words (including synonyms) and phrases designed to capture potentially relevant ESI, and includes strings of words and phrases joined by proximity and Boolean connectors.
- n. "Static Image" means or refers to a representation of ESI produced by converting a native file into a standard image format capable of being viewed and printed on standard computer systems. A Tagged Image File Format (TIFF) image is an example of a Static Image.
- o. "Structured Data" means ESI stored in a structured format, such as databases or data sets according to specific form and content rules as defined by each field of the database.
- p. "Predictive Coding/Technology Assisted Review" shall mean processes for prioritizing or coding a collection of documents using computerized systems, such as machine-learning algorithms, that may rely on the judgments of one or more attorneys experienced in the subject matter of a litigation regarding the responsiveness of a subset of documents and extrapolates those judgments to the remaining document collection. For purposes of this Protocol, Predictive Coding/Technology Assisted Review is synonymous with computer-assisted review, computer-aided review, and content-based advanced

analytics or other terms used to refer to search methodologies that rely on machine-based learning to identify responsive documents.

q. "Unstructured Data" refers to free-form data which either does not have a data structure or has a data structure not easily readable by a computer without the use of a specific program designed to interpret the data, such as word processing documents, slide presentations, Email, and image files.

II. SCOPE

a. General. The procedures and protocols outlined herein govern the Production of Documents and ESI by all Parties. The Parties will take reasonable steps to comply with this agreed-upon protocol for the Production of Documents and Electronically Stored Information ("Protocol"). All Productions made pursuant to this Protocol are subject to the Order of Confidentiality entered by the Court on January 25, 2016 (ECF No. 555) ("Confidentiality Order"), and any further protective orders or privilege orders entered in these Proceedings. Nothing in this Protocol is intended to be an exhaustive list of discovery obligations or rights of a Party requested to produce Documents or ESI ("Producing Party") or a Party requesting Documents or ESI ("Requesting Party").

The production specifications in this Order apply to Documents that are produced in the first instance in this Action. To the extent any Party is required to or agrees to produce Documents in this Action that originally were collected or produced in other cases or government investigations, such Documents may be produced in the same format in which they originally were produced in the other cases or to the government. The terms and specifications of this Order shall only apply to productions made after the date of entry

of this Order. Productions in this Action that were delivered before the date of entry of this Order are exempt from the terms of the Order, unless the Producing Party and Receiving Party otherwise agree.

To the extent additional obligations or rights not addressed in this Protocol arise under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, local rules, or applicable state and federal statutes, they shall be controlling.

- b. Limitations and Non-Waiver. The Parties and their attorneys intend by this Protocol to make the mutual disclosures promised herein. The Parties and their attorneys do not intend by this Protocol to waive their rights to any protection or privilege, including the attorney-client privilege and the work-product doctrine. All Parties preserve their attorney-client privileges, work product protection, and other privileges. The Parties and their attorneys are not waiving, and specifically reserve, the right to object to any discovery request on any grounds. Further, nothing in this Protocol shall be construed to affect the admissibility of Documents and ESI. All objections to the discoverability or admissibility of any Documents and ESI are preserved and may be asserted at any time.
- c. Reservation of Rights. For the avoidance of doubt, the inclusion of any platform, program, application, or software in Section I as examples does not create any independent obligation or commitment to preserve or collect ESI from such platform, program, application, or software. The Parties reserve all rights to object to any demand to collect or produce ESI from any or all of the examples listed in Section I.
- d. Modification by Agreement. Any practice or procedure set forth herein may be varied by agreement of affected Parties, which will be confirmed in writing, where

such variance is deemed appropriate to facilitate the timely and economical exchange of Documents and ESI. Any Party added or joined to any complaint in this Action and any Party to actions that may be consolidated into or coordinated with the above-captioned matter after the date of this Protocol that seeks to deviate from Protocol set forth herein must obtain leave of Court to do so unless all affected Parties otherwise consent in writing. Before seeking Court intervention, Plaintiffs and all affected Defendants shall meet and confer in good faith regarding any modification.

e. **Modification by Court Order**. Nothing in this Protocol waives the right of any Party to petition the Court for an Order modifying its terms upon good cause shown, provided, however, that counsel for such party must first meet and confer with the counsel for the opposing party and the Parties shall use reasonable best efforts to negotiate an exception from or modification to this Agreement and Order prior to seeking relief from the Court.

III. PRESERVATION

The Parties agree that they shall continue to take reasonable steps to preserve relevant Documents and Electronically Stored Information that may exist for a Document or ESI, in accordance with their obligations under applicable law. The Parties will meet and confer regarding the scope of preservation, including custodians, data sources, date ranges, and categories of information that have been or should be preserved in connection with this litigation and disclosures regarding custodians, data sources, date ranges, and categories of information necessary to facilitate the Parties' negotiations. By preserving or

producing information for the purpose of this Action, the Parties are not conceding that such material is discoverable.

IV. PRODUCTION OF STRUCTURED DATA

Where a discovery request requires production of Structured Data, in lieu of producing structured data systems or applications, the Parties shall meet and confer on the content and format of a data extraction from such structured data source. The Parties shall discuss and attempt to agree upon the sets of data or fields to be included in the extraction and the format in which data extracted from the data source shall be produced and the Producing Party shall make all disclosures necessary for the Requesting Party to understand and evaluate whether the content and format of a proposed data extraction would satisfy a production request, such as the data fields available, the meaning of data fields, as well as codes and abbreviations used in the data source and whether a data dictionary exists, the time period over which data exists, any database scheme, or other relevant information. The Producing Party shall generate a sample report of such extracted data for review by the Requesting Party or counsel upon agreement of the Parties as to the fields to be produced and the format of production. The Parties reserve all rights to object, including but not limited to, objections for relevance, undue burden, and/ or inaccessibility.

V. IDENTIFICATION OF RESPONSIVE DOCUMENTS.

The Parties shall meet and confer in good faith to come to an agreement on search methods used to identify responsive Documents and ESI. The Parties will meet and confer regarding the methods to be used to search Documents and ESI for potentially responsive documents or filter out Documents and ESI that are not subject to discovery, and such meet

and confers will include disclosures necessary for the Requesting Party to understand and assess the sufficiency of the proposed search or filtering methodology, such as the nature of and processes of the search technology employed, the custodians and data sources and types to which it will and will not be applied, date ranges that may be used to filter documents, file types subject to or excluded from the methodology, search terms proposed to be used (if any), statistical sampling or validation techniques the Producing Party has used or intends to use to evaluate the sufficiency of the methodology, and whether different search methodologies will be applied to different types or sources of data. During such discussions, the Producing Party shall retain the presumptive right and responsibility to manage and control searches of its data files, including the right to propose revisions to search-term methods or advanced-technology procedures in order to make them more accurate and cost-effective and will disclose those proposed search methods and any revisions. Nothing in this section shall limit a Party's right to reasonably seek agreement from the other Parties or a Court ruling to modify previously agreed-upon search terms or other search parameters at any time prior to the completion of discovery. The Parties will not seek Court intervention without first attempting to resolve any disagreements in good faith, based upon all reasonably available information.

a. Search Terms:

1. Where the Parties agree that potentially responsive ESI shall be searched through the use of search terms, the Parties shall meet and confer to provide reasonable assurances to the Requesting Party that the Producing Party's search terms and methodology used to apply them are reasonably calculated to identify

responsive Documents and ESI. Prior to or during such meet and confer, the Producing Party shall make the disclosures identified above.

- 2. If, after disclosure of the Producing Party's proposed search method, search parameters, and search terms, and prior to the conduct of any searches, and after a reasonable meet and confer process, a Requesting Party believes in good faith that the Producing Party's proposals regarding search, retrieval, and production would result in deficiencies in production, the Requesting Party may make prompt requests for different or additional search methods, parameters, or search terms, but such requests shall only be made after the Parties have met and conferred as to the alleged deficiencies identified by the Requesting Party. If the Parties cannot resolve their disagreements regarding search term methodologies they shall promptly bring the dispute to the Court for resolution.
- b. **Technology-Assisted-Review**: No Party shall use predictive coding/technology-assisted review for the purpose of culling the documents to be reviewed or produced without notifying the opposing Party prior to use and with ample time to meet and confer in good faith regarding a mutually agreeable protocol for the use of such technologies, if any. If the Parties cannot resolve their disagreements regarding technology assisted review methodologies, they shall promptly bring the dispute to the Court for resolution.

The fact that a Document or ESI is responsive to a search term or identified as responsive by any other technology used to identify potentially responsive Documents and ESI shall not prevent any Party from withholding such file from production on the

grounds that the file is not responsive or that it is protected from disclosure by applicable privilege or work-product protection.

VI. PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS ORIGINATING AS PAPER

The following production specifications apply to Documents that existed in paper format prior to production ("hard copy documents"). Documents that originated as paper but which were scanned and maintained electronically by a Party prior to inception of this Action shall be produced in accordance with Part VII of this Protocol. The Parties agree to produce hard copy documents in the formats described below, to the extent reasonably practicable and not unduly burdensome. These formats are deemed to be productions in reasonably usable form. If a Producing Party intends to produce any hard copy documents in any manner other than as specified herein, the Producing Party shall notify the Requesting Party of its intent, including production format (e.g., produced as paper, made available for inspection). If the proposed production format is not acceptable to the Requesting Party, the Parties shall meet and confer to determine a mutually acceptable production format for such Documents.

- a. TIFFs. Documents should be produced as single-page, black and white, group IV TIFFs imaged at 300 dpi. Bates numbers, confidentiality designations (in accordance with the protective order governing the case), and redactions (to the extent they are necessary) should be burned into the image. TIFF image files should be provided in an "Images" folder.
- b. Unitizing Documents. In scanning paper documents, distinct documents should not be merged into a single record, and single documents should not be split into

multiple records (*i.e.*, paper documents should be logically unitized). For example, documents stored in a binder, folder, or similar container (each a "container") should be produced in the same order as they appear in the container. The front cover of the container should be produced immediately before the first document in the container. The back cover of the container should be produced immediately after the last document in the container. The Parties will undertake reasonable efforts to, or have their vendors, logically unitize documents correctly, and will commit to address situations of improperly unitized documents.

- Document or its attachments is responsive, the entire Document and attachments will be produced, except any attachments that must be withheld or redacted on the basis of privilege or work-product protection. The Parties shall take reasonable steps to ensure that parent-child relationships within a document family (the association between an attachment and its parent document) are preserved. The child-document(s) should be consecutively produced immediately after the parent-document. Each document shall be produced with the production number for the first and last page of that document in the "BegBates" and "EndBates" fields of the data load file and with the "BegAttach" and "EndAttach" fields listing the production number for the first and last page in the document family.
- d. OCR. To the extent that documents that exist in paper or hard copy format have been run through optical character recognition ("OCR") software, the full text shall be provided on a document-level in an appropriately formatted text file (.txt) that is named

to match the first Bates number of the document. Text files should be provided in a "Text" folder. To the extent that a document is redacted, the text files should not contain the text of the redacted portions.

- e. Unique IDs. Each TIFF image should have a unique filename, which corresponds to the Bates number of that page. The filename should not contain any blank spaces and should be zero-padded (e.g., ABC-000001), taking into consideration the estimated number of pages to be produced. If a Bates number or set of Bates numbers is skipped in a production, the Producing Party will so note in a cover letter or production log accompanying the production. Bates numbers will be unique across the entire production and prefixes will be consistent across all documents a party produces in the Action.
- f. Data Load Files. Documents should be provided with an Opticon Cross-Reference File and Concordance data load file using standard Concordance delimiters:
 - i. Field Separator: ASCII character 20 ("¶");
 - ii. Quote: ASCII character 254 ("b"); and
 - iii. New Line: ASCII character 174 ("®").

Concordance-compatible image and data load files should be provided in a "Data" folder.

- g. Metadata. Each of the Metadata and coding fields set forth in Appendix A shall be produced for that Document.
- h. Color. Documents containing color need not be produced in color in the first instance, provided however, that the Producing Party shall retain a copy of produced hard copy documents in color. However, if good cause exists for the Requesting Party to request production of certain documents in color, the Requesting Party may request

production of such documents in color by providing (1) a list of the Bates numbers of documents it requests to be produced in color format; and (2) an explanation of the need for production in color format. The Producing Party shall not unreasonably deny such requests.

VII. PRODUCTION FORMAT FOR UNSTRUCTURED ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION

The Parties agree to produce in the formats described below. These formats are deemed to be productions in reasonably usable form. If any Party contends that particular Documents or ESI warrant a different format, the Parties will meet and confer to determine a mutually acceptable production format for such Documents.

- a. TIFFs. Documents should be produced as single-page, black and white, group IV TIFFs imaged at 300 dpi. The document's original orientation should be maintained (i.e., portrait-to-portrait and landscape-to-landscape). Bates numbers, confidentiality designations (in accordance with the protective order governing the case), and redactions (to the extent they are necessary) should be burned into the image. TIFF image files should be provided in an "Images" folder.
- b. Extracted Text Files. The full text of native files should be extracted directly from the native file (not OCR) and should be delivered in an appropriately formatted text file (.txt) that is named to match the first Bates number of the document. Text files should be provided in a "Text" folder. To the extent that a document is redacted, the document should undergo OCR after the text has been redacted in order to remove the redacted text.
- c. Chat Data. For ESI that is chat data, ESI should be provided in the original Native Bloomberg TXT Format typically packaged within a .tgz container file, or, if the chat data that existed at the time this Action was filed was not maintained in that format at

the time of filing, or was previously produced in connection with a Regulatory Production and the chat data was not preserved in Native Bloomberg TXT format, the Parties shall produce chat data with the following metadata fields to the extent they exist:

- 1. RoomID /PCHAT Number / INTMSGID;
- 2. Conversation start;
- 3. Conversation end time;
- 4. Duration of chat;
- 5. Participant Count / Number of Participants.

Further, the directory structure for chat data must contain the following:

- 1. + arbitraryparentname;
- 2. + TXT;
- 3. + mmddyy-mmddyy1;
- 4. + prefix.IB.mmddyy-mmddyy.txt2;
- 5. + prefix.IB.mmddyy-mmddyy.txt.att.tar.gz.
- d. Unique IDs. Each image should have a unique filename, which corresponds to the Bates number of that page. The filename should not contain any blank spaces and should be zero-padded (e.g., ABC-000001), taking into consideration the estimated number of pages to be produced. If a Bates number or set of Bates numbers is skipped in a production, the Producing Party will so note in a cover letter or production log accompanying the production. Bates numbers will be unique across the entire production and prefixes will be consistent across all documents a party produces in this Action.

Case 1:17-cv-03139-LGS-SDA Document 251-1 Filed 04/11/19 Page 25 of 46 Case 1:15-cy-04926-LGS Document 49 Filed 01/17/17 Page 16 of 34

- e. Parent-Child Relationships. The Parties agree that if any part of an Email or its attachments is responsive, the entire Email and attachments will be produced, except any attachments that must be withheld or redacted on the basis of privilege or work-product protection. The relationship between attachments, enclosures, embedded files, and/or exhibits to any parent document shall be preserved. The child-document should be consecutively produced immediately after the parent-document. Each document shall be produced with the production number for the first and last page of that document in the "BegBates" and "EndBates" fields of the data load file and with the "BegAttach" and "EndAttach" fields listing the production number for the first and last page in the document family.
- f. Metadata. The Parties agree that Metadata will be produced for all ESI, whether produced in Native Format or Static Image formats. Appendix A sets forth the minimum metadata fields that must be produced to the extent that metadata exists for a particular document. To the extent that metadata does not exist, is not reasonably accessible or available, or would be unduly burdensome to collect, nothing in this ESI Protocol shall require any Party to extract, capture, collect, or produce such data except for those fields marked for Hard Copy Documents in Appendix A, provided, however, that where such metadata is not produced on such grounds, the Producing Party shall disclose which fields it will not produce. If Metadata is redacted or withheld, the Producing Party will so inform the Requesting Party and record the redaction on any privilege log prepared by the Producing Party; redacted Metadata shall be preserved.

g. Production of Documents in Native Format.

- 1. The processed native for all spreadsheets (*i.e.*, MS Excel, .CSV, or similar), and electronic information containing audio or video components should be produced and linked to the database by the metadata field "NativeLink."
- 2. Presentation files will be processed to TIFF format showing comments, hidden slides, speakers' notes, and similar data. In addition to TIFF images, native files will be provided for presentation files. The native file will be named as the first Bates number of the respective document. The corresponding Load File shall include native file link information for each native file that is produced.
- 3. The Requesting Party may ask for certain other documents and/or databases initially produced in TIFF format to be produced in their Native Format in the event that the TIFF format is not reasonably usable. The Requesting Party shall identify the documents by their Bates numbers and the documents should be produced in their unaltered Native Format.
- 4. Where native files are produced in lieu of TIFF images, each native file will be assigned a unique Bates number. The Producing Party will produce a placeholder (a single-page TIFF slip sheet indicating that the native item was produced) along with the file itself in Native Format. The placeholder will be branded with the production number in the lower right hand corner and the phrase "PRODUCED IN NATIVE ONLY" branded in

the center of the page. The Producing Party will also brand any confidentiality or similar endorsements in the lower left hand corner of the placeholder.

- To the extent that a native spreadsheet must be redacted, the 5. Producing Party may redact either the native file or produce TIFF images with burned in redactions in lieu of a Native File and TIFF placeholder image. If redacting TIFF images and to the extent that any of the following can be automated, the Producing Party, or its ediscovery vendor, should make reasonable efforts to: (1) reveal hidden rows, columns, or sheets prior to converting the document to TIFF; (2) clear any filters that may conceal information; (3) adjust column widths so that numbers do not appear as "#######"; (4) ensure that column and row headings print; (5) ensure that the tab name appears in the header or footer of the document; (6) process comments so that they are produced at the end of the spreadsheet; and (7) process spreadsheets so that they print across, then down. If good cause exists, the Requesting Party may ask the Producing Party to manually undertake the foregoing for certain documents identified by Bates number by the Requesting Party to the extent the document was originally produced with concealed information. The Producing Party shall not unreasonably deny such a request.
 - 6. Request for Native Files. Other than as specifically set forth above, a Producing Party need not produce documents in Native

Case 1:17-cv-03139-LGS-SDA Document 251-1 Filed 04/11/19 Page 28 of 46 Case 1:15-cv-04926-LGS Document 49 Filed 01/17/17 Page 19 of 34

Format. If good cause exists for the Requesting party to request production of certain documents in Native Format, the Requesting Party may request production in Native Format by providing (1) a list of the Bates numbers of documents it requests to be produced in Native Format; and (2) an explanation of the need for reviewing such documents in Native Format. The Producing Party shall not unreasonably deny such requests. The Producing Party shall produce an overlay to ensure that the "NativeLink" entry in the data load file indicates the relative file path to each Native File in such production, and all Extracted Text and applicable metadata fields.

- h. Track Changes and Comments. To the extent that a Document or ESI contains tracked changes or comments, the Document or ESI should be imaged showing tracked changes and comments.
- i. Password Protected Files. The Parties will make reasonable efforts to ensure that all encrypted or password-protected Documents and ESI are successfully processed for review and production. To the extent encrypted or password-protected Documents are successfully processed, the Parties have no duty to identify further the prior encrypted status of such Documents. To the extent security protection for such Documents and ESI cannot be successfully processed despite reasonable efforts, the Producing Party shall notify the Requesting Party about such documents prior to production and the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith regarding reasonable efforts or mechanisms to remove such encryption or password protection with respect to the production of available Metadata

- j. Embedded Documents. Embedded files in responsive documents shall be extracted during processing and produced as separate documents. The Bates number of the source file from which the embedded file is extracted shall be provided as metadata associated with the embedded file, as described in Appendix A.
- k. Data Load Files. Documents should be provided with an Opticon Cross-Reference File and Concordance data load file using standard Concordance delimiters:
 - 1. Text Delimiter AKA "Quote" "p", Hex (FE), Unicode (U+00FE), Decimal (254) 2;
 - 2. Field Separator AKA "Comma" "", Hex (14);
 - 3. Unicode (U+0014), Decimal (20);
 - 4. Quote: ASCII character 254 ("b"); and
 - 5. New Line: ASCII character 174 ("®").

All rows will contain the same number of delimiters and fields. The multi-value field delimiter must be consistent across all fields. For example, if the CC field contains semi-colons between Email addresses, the Tag field should also contain semi-colons. Concordance-compatible image and data load files should be provided in a "Data" folder. Parties have the option to exchange sample load files. If this exchange occurs, the Requesting Party will have 14 days to respond with Load File change requests. Nothing in this Order will limit the Parties from discussing Load File changes throughout the course of the Action.

- l. **Deduplication**. A Producing Party shall globally deduplicate by exact duplicate families provided that (i) only exact (bit-by-bit) duplicates are subject to deduplication; (ii) the Producing Party identifies the additional custodians in an Additional Custodian metadata field; and (iii) an Email that includes content in the BCC or other blind copy fields shall not be treated as a duplicate of an Email that does not include content in the BCC or other blind copy field, even if all remaining content in the email is identical. Any party opting to deduplicate in a different manner from the foregoing procedure shall disclose their deduplication methodology to the Requesting Party prior to deduplication. If the Requesting Party objects to the methodology, it shall timely raise those concerns with the Producing Party. If a party opts not to deduplicate, it shall disclose such fact to the Requesting party prior to production of such non-deduplicated data.
- m. Production of Audio and Video Recordings. If audio and/or video recordings are responsive, the Parties should meet and confer to determine a mutually agreeable format for producing the audio and/or video recording and/or, to the extent a Producing Party object to producing responsive audio and video recordings on grounds of undue burden, regarding the basis for the claim of undue burden.
- n. **Production of Transcripts**. If deposition or other transcripts are responsive, the Parties should meet and confer to determine a mutually agreeable format for producing the transcripts.
- o. Custodian or Originating Source. The custodian or originating source shall be identified in the Custodian field of the database load files. Documents found in the possession of a natural person (or on a natural person's hardware or storage media) should

be produced in such fashion as to identify the natural person. Documents found in the possession of a department, group, entity, or other common facility (e.g., office, file room, archive, network storage, file share, back-up, hard drive, etc.) should be produced in such a fashion as to identify the department, group, entity, or facility. A Producing Party shall use a uniform description of a particular custodian across productions.

- p. Color. Except for PowerPoint or other presentation applications, Documents containing color need not be produced in color in the first instance. However, if good cause exists for the Requesting Party to request production of certain documents in color, the Requesting Party may request production of such documents in color by providing (1) a list of the Bates numbers of documents it requests to be produced in color format; and (2) an explanation of the need for production in color format. The Producing Party shall not unreasonably deny such requests.
- q. Foreign Language. Foreign language text files and Metadata should be delivered with the correct encoding to enable the preservation of the document's original language.

r. Date Format.

- 1. Dates and times must be concatenated into a single field, with a format of MM/dd/YYYY HH:MM:ss (zzz).
- 2. If a time is not available, such as the estimate date for a coded document, then 12:00 am, or 00:00 should be assigned, *i.e.*, 12/21/1999 00:00.

- 3. Date delimiters, such as slashes and colons, must be consistent across all fields. In the format of MM/dd/YYYY, there are no spaces and only forward slashes.
- 4. Date formats must be consistent within any one field.
- 5. Date formats must be consistent across all fields, *i.e.*, a record with a sent date should have the same format in the last modified date field.

To the extent reasonably practicable, all ESI shall be processed within a uniform time zone: *i.e.*, Greenwich Mean Time "GMT," Eastern Standard Time "EST." To the extent a Producing Party cannot process ESI with that time zone, it shall disclose the same and the Parties shall meet and confer regarding a reasonable alternative.

- s. **Production Media**. The preferred means of producing documents is via secure FTP or secure file share. However, documents may also be produced via CD, DVD, flash drive, or hard drive. To the extent possible, physical media should be protected before it is produced.
- t. Naming Convention for Production Media. Whether produced via secure FTP, file share, or physical media, the files produced should be combined into a compressed file such as .zip, .rar, etc. The compressed file should be named so as to indicate Producing Party, the date of the production, and the sequence of the production (e.g., "FX Production 20150508-001"). If the production is made using physical media, the media should be labeled to include (a) text referencing that it was produced in *In re Foreign Exchange Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litigation*; and (b) the Bates number range of the materials contained on the media.

- u. Replacement Productions. Any replacement production will be transmitted with a cover letter or email to identify the production as a replacement and cross-reference the BegBates and EndBates of the documents being replaced. If the replacement production is being transmitted by physical media, the media shall include the phrase "Replacement Production."
- v. Encrypted Data. To the extent a production is encrypted before it is produced, the Producing Party shall contemporaneously transmit the credentials necessary to decrypt the data.

VIII. ASSERTIONS OF PRIVILEGE

- a. In the interest of the Parties' time, and to minimize litigation costs, the Parties agree that categorical logging will be premitted for the following types of documents: (1) documents withheld or redacted for foreign data protection or privacy reasons; and (2) communications with bank examiners operating in their supervisory capacity, or communications discussing the substance of bank supervision issues. Documents subject to logging that do not fall within the categories identified for categorical logging will be logged as usual in accordance with federal and local rules.
- b. Categorical Privilege Logs shall be provided for certain types of documents containing the following information:
 - 1. a sequential number associated with each Categorical Privilege Log record;
 - 2. the Bates number associated with the document; and
 - 3. the category at issue.

- c. For any and all documents withheld in full or in part (redacted) for foreign data protection of privacy reasons, the Producing Party shall include a list of the Categorical Log of Bates numbers identifying the documents withheld in full or in part (redacted).
- d. To the extent the Requesting Party wishes to challenge the assertion of privilege or protection as to a particular document or documents identified on a categorical log, the Parties shall meet and confer with regard to identification of additional information regarding such documents to permit the Requesting Party to challenge the assertion.
- e. To the extent documents subject to logging do not fall within the categories identified for categorical logging, Privilege Logs shall be provided containing the following information:
 - 1. a sequential number associated with each Privilege Log record;
 - 2. the date of the document;
 - 3. the Bates numbers of documents redacted;
 - 4. to the extent reasonably available, the identity of all persons who sent, authored, signed, or otherwise prepared the document, and identification of which of them are attorneys;
 - 5. to the extent reasonably available, the identity of all persons designated as addressees or copyees along with other information about them necessary for the Requesting Party to assess the privilege (e.g., title, department, job function, etc.) and identification of which of them are attorneys;

- 6. the title or subject of a document to the extent the title does not reveal privileged or work-product protected information;
- 7. a description of the contents of the document that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, is sufficient to understand the subject matter of the document and the basis of the claim of privilege or immunity;
- 8. the type or nature of the privilege asserted (i.e., attorney-client privilege; work product doctrine).

Privilege Log #/ Bates #	Date	Author	From or Sender	Recipient	СС	BCC	Title	Subject	Privilege Log Description	Privilege
I, iii	11	iv	lv	V	V	v	vi	vi	vii	vli

f. Logging of Document Families. Where multiple members of a document "family" (e.g., an email attaching a memorandum or other document, etc.) are withheld or redacted on the grounds of privilege, immunity or any similar claim, these families may be jointly logged as a single entry on the privilege log with an indication in the description field that describes the family relationship, provided, however, that information logged must be sufficient to assess the privilege for each family member. By way of example, for an email with an attached memorandum, the log entry must provide all of the information outlined in §VI.a above for both the email and memorandum, distinguishing the to, from, cc, bcc, dates, description, and privilege asserted for each document in the single entry. For document families in which fewer than all of the documents are withheld or redacted as

privileged or protected, the privilege log entry for the withheld document(s) shall identify the Bates number of the produced family member.

- g. The following documents presumptively need not be included on a privilege log:
 - communications exclusively between a Party and its outside counsel regarding this Action;
 - work product created by outside counsel, or by an agent of outside counsel other than a Party, regarding this Action and created after commencement of this Action;
 - documents not responsive to the Requesting Party's substantive document requests (as modified by the Producing Party's responses and objections to such requests) need not be logged, notwithstanding that such documents may have been within scope of regulatory productions that are being re-produced here.

IX. INADVERTENT DISCLOSURE OF ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED OR WORK-PRODUCT PROTECTED INFORMATION

a. Clawback Procedures. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d), if, in connection with the Action, information subject to a claim of attorney-client privilege or attorney work-product protection is inadvertently disclosed ("Inadvertently Disclosed Protected Information"), the disclosure of the Inadvertently Disclosed Protected Information is not a waiver or forfeiture of any claim of privilege or work product protection that a Party would otherwise be entitled to assert with respect to the Inadvertently Disclosed Protected Information and its subject matter. Any claim regarding Inadvertently Disclosed Protected Information shall be subject to the terms of the

Stipulation and Order of Confidentiality agreed upon by the Parties, and entered by the Court (ECF No. 555).

b. Recall During Depositions. If the recall of Inadvertently Disclosed Protected Information is made orally during the taking of a deposition or shortly before a deposition, defending counsel shall, within five business days of the deposition, submit a Notice of Recall, and the Parties shall follow the process outlined in the Stipulation and Order of Confidentiality. If the Parties agree, or the Court determines, the Inadvertently Disclosed Protected Information is not subject to a valid claim of privilege or work product protection, the Parties may submit to the Court any dispute regarding the appropriate remedy, including whether and to what extent a reopening of the deposition may be necessary.

c. Limitations and Non-Waiver.

- 1. Nothing in this Order limits the right of any Party to petition the Court for an *in camera* review of the Inadvertently Disclosed Protected Information.
- 2. Nothing in this Order limits the right of any Party to petition the Court for an Order finding that disclosure was not inadvertent or that the holder of the privileged or protected information failed to take reasonable steps to prevent disclosure.

XI. COST ALLOCATION

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26, the Parties generally presume that the Producing Party bears all costs of preservation, retrieval, and production of its reasonably accessible ESI and there may be cost-sharing or cost-shifting, upon agreement

of the Producing and Requesting Parties or upon proper motion and Order of the Court, as to ESI that is not reasonably accessible.

XII. THIRD PARTY DOCUMENTS

A Party that issues a non-Party subpoena ("Issuing Party") shall include a copy of this Protocol with the subpoena and state that the Parties to the Action have requested that third Parties produce Documents in accordance with the specifications set forth herein. The Issuing Party shall timely notify other Parties when it receives non-party productions, and shall, upon request by other Parties ("Requesting Parties" for purposes of this paragraph), provide copies of such productions to Requesting Parties in the format in which they were received from the third-party. In the event that the format of a third-party production does not conform with the specifications set forth herein, the Parties shall meet and confer regarding the format of production to Requesting Parties. Nothing in this Protocol is intended to or should be interpreted as narrowing, expanding, or otherwise affecting the rights of the Parties or third Parties to object to a subpoena.

XIII. AUTHENTICATION

The Parties will meet and confer regarding an authentication stipulation concerning

Documents produced in this Action.

APPENDIX A: REQUIRED METADATA FIELDS

Field	Description	Email	Non- Email ESI	Hard Copy
Fleid	Beginning page			
Bates Number Begin	Bates number	X	X	X
Bates Indition Begin	Ending page Bates			
Bates Number End	number	X	X	X
Sales Humoor E.i.a	Beginning page of		16	
Attachment Begin	attachment range	X	X	X
tttaeimett Begin	Ending page of		- S	
Attachment End	attachment range	X	X	X
	Number of			
24	attachments to an			
Attachment Count	email	X	X	
	Production			1
	custodians or			1
	non-human	1		1
	production data	ļ.	1 1	
	sources associated			
	with the produced			
AllCustodian	document	X	X	<u> </u>
d vec	File name of			1
File Name	document		X	
	File extension of			
File Extension	document		X	
THE Extension	Type of Outlook			6
	item, e.g. email,			
	calendar item, note,	1		
Email Outlook Type	task	X		
E. F. J.	For documents		2	
	produced in TIFF			
	form, number of			A2
141	pages in the			
	document. For			(8) (
	documents			1
	produced in native,			1 -
	page count will be		v	x
Page Count	(for placeholder).	X	X	- -
Email Subject	Subject of email	X	X	
Author	Document author		X	-
From	Email author	X	X	
То	Email recipients	X	X	

Field	Description	Email	Non- Email ESI	Hard Copy
	Email copyees	x	х	
CC	Email blind	1		
DGG	copyees	x	x	
BCC	Email importance			
	flag	x	x	
Importance	Date sent	A		
	(mm/dd/yyyy		*	
	hh:mm:ss format)	x	x	
Date Sent	Time sent	^	A	
	(mm/dd/yyyy	37	x	
Time Sent	hh:mm:ss format)	X	^	
	Date received			20
	(mm/dd/yyyy	.,	v	
Date Received	hh:mm:ss format)	X	X	
	Time received			1
	(mm/dd/yyyy			1
Time Received	hh:mm:ss format)	X	X	
•)				
Date Created	Date created		X	
	Last modification			J4
	date (mm/dd/yyyy			
DateLastModified	hh:mm:ss format)		X	
	Unique electronic			
	signature of email	1	1	
Hash Value (MD5 or SHA-1)	or electronic file	X	X	
	Unique identifier			
	from email			1
-	threading algorithm			
Email Thread Family ID (if	to denote emails	1		*
email threading is used by	from a single thread	1		
Producing Party)	and all attachments	X	X	
7.	Production volume			
Production Volume	name	x	X	X
	Confidentiality			
	designation		2	
Al .	pursuant to the			
Confidentiality	Protective Order	x	X	X
Confidentially	Descriptor for			
	documents that			
a	have been redacted			
Redacted	(<yes> or <no>)</no></yes>	x	X	X

Case 1:17-cv-03139-LGS-SDA Document 251-1 Filed 04/11/19 Page 41 of 46 Case 1:15-cv-04926-LGS Document 49 Filed 01/17/17 Page 32 of 34

DATED: January 13, 2017

SCOTT+SCOTT, ATTORNEYS AT LAW,

LLP

Christopher M. Burke 707 Broadway, Suite 1000 San Diego, CA 92101

Telephone: (619) 233-4565

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON &

GARRISON LLP

Andrew C. Finch Kenneth A. Gallo Michael E. Gertzman

1285 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10019

Telephone: (212) 373-3000

Facsimile: (212) 757-3990

afinch@paulweiss.com

kgallo@paulweiss.com mgertzman@paulweiss.com

Attorneys for Defendant The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd.

HAUSFELD LLP

Michael D. Hausfeld

1700 K Street, NW, Suite 650

Washington, DC 20006

Telephone: (202) 540-7200

CAHILL GORDON & REINDEL LLP

David G. Januszewski

Herbert S. Washer

Elai Katz

Jason M. Hall

Sheila C. Ramesh

80 Pine Street

New York, NY 10005

Telephone: (212) 701-3000

Facsimile: (212) 269-5420

djanuszewski@cahill.com

hwasher@cahill.com

ekatz@cahill.com

ihall@cahill.com

sramesh@cahill.com

Attorneys for Defendants Credit Suisse Group AG, Credit Suisse AG, and Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC

SIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

Robert Khuzami Joseph Serino, Jr., P.C.

Eric F. Leon, P.C.

601 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022

Telephone: (212) 446-4800 Facsimile: (212) 446-4900 robert.khuzami@kirkland.com joseph.serino@kirkland.com eric.lcon@kirkland.com

G. Patrick Montgomery (pro hac vice) 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-5793 Telephone: (202) 879-5000 Facsimile: (202) 879-5200

patrick.montgomery@kirkland.com

Attorneys for Defendant Deutsche Bank AG,

MOORE & VANALLEN, PLLC

lames P. McLoughlin, Jr.

Wil T. Bloomfield

100 North Tryon Street, Suite 4700

Charlotte, NC 28202

Telephone: (704) 331-1000 Facsimile: (704) 331-1159 jimmeloughlin@mvalaw.com neilbloomfield@mvalaw.com

Attorneys for Defendant RBC Capital Markets, LLC

WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ

Jonathan M. Moses

Bradley R. Wilson
51 West 52nd Street
New York, NY 10019

Telephone: (212) 403-1000 Facsimile: (212) 403-2000

JMMoses@wlrk.com BRWilson@wlrk.com

MARINO, TORTORELLA & BOYLE, P.C.

Kevin H. Marino John D. Tortorella 437 Southern Boulevard Chatham, NJ 07938

Telephone: (973) 824-9300 Facsimile: (973) 824-8425 kmarino@khmarino.com jtortorella@khmarino.com

Attorneys for Defendants Morgan Stanley, Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC, and Morgan Stanley & Co. International plc

LINKLATERS LLP

James R. Warnot, Jr. Adam S. Lurie

Patrick C. Ashby

1345 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10105

Telephone: (212) 903-9000

Facsimile: (212) 903-9100 james.warnot@linklaters.com

adam.lurie@linklaters.com patrick.ashby@linklaters.com

Attorneys for Defendant Société Générale

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

Andrew W. Stern Nicholas P. Crowell

Alan M. Unger

787 Seventh Avenue

New York, NY 10019

Telephone: (212) 839-5300

Facsimile: (212) 839-5599

astern@sidley.com ncrowell@sidley.com aunger@sidley.com

Attorneys for Defendant Standard Chartered PLC

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

January 17 , 2017 New York, New York

HON. LORNA G. SCHOFIELD United States District Judge

APPENDIX B

CURRENCY PAIR (SYMBOLS)	CURRENCY PAIR
AUD/CAD	Australia Dollar/Canada Dollar
AUD/JPY	Australia Dollar/Japan Yen
AUD/NZD	Australia Dollar/New Zealand Dollar
AUD/USD	Australia Dollar/United States Dollar
CAD/CHF	Canada Dollar/Switzerland Franc
CAD/JPY	Canada Dollar/Japan Yen
CHF/JPY	Switzerland Franc/Japan Yen
EUR/AUD	Euro/Australia Dollar
EUR/CAD	Euro/Canada Dollar
EUR/CHF	Euro/Switzerland Franc
EUR/CZK	Euro/Czech Republic Koruna
EUR/DKK	Euro/Denmark Krone
EUR/GBP	Euro/Great Britain Pound
EUR/HUF	Euro/Hungary Forint
EUR/ILS	Euro/Israel Shekel
EUR/JPY	Euro/Japan Yen
EURJNOK	Euro/ Norway Kroner
EURJNZD	Euro/New Zealand Dollar
EUR/PLN	Euro/Poland Zloty
EUR/RON	Euro/Romania Leu
EUR/RUB	Euro/Russia Ruble
EU R/SEK	Euro/ Sweden Krona
EUR/TRY	Euro/Turkey Lira
EUR/USD	Euro/United States Dollar
EUR/ZAR	Euro/South Africa Rand
GBP/AUD	Great Britain Pound/Australia Dollar
GBP/CAD	Great Britain Pound/Canada Dollar
GBP/CHF	Great Britain Pound/Switzerland Franc
GBP/JPY	Great Britain Pound/Japan Yen
GBP/NZD	Great Britain Pound/New Zealand Dollar
GBP/USD	Great Britain Pound/United States Dollar
NZD/JPY	New Zealand Dollar/Japan Yen
NZD/USD	New Zealand Dollar/United States Dollar
USD/CAD	United States Dollar/Canada Dollar
USD/CHF	United States Dollar/Switzerland Franc
USD/CNH	United States Dollar/China Yuan
USD/CZK	United States Dollar/Czech Republic Koruna
USD/DKK	United States Dollar/ Denmark Krone
USD/HKD	United States Dollar/Hong Kong Dollar

CURRENCY PAIR (SYMBOLS)	CURRENCY PAIR
USD/HUF	United States Dollar/Hungary Forint
USD/ILS	United States Dollar/Israel Shekel
USD/INR	United States Dollar/India Rupee
USD/JPY	United States Dollar/Japan Yen
USD/MXN	United States Dollar/Mexico Peso
USD/NOK	United States Dollar/ Norway Kroner
USD/PLN	United States Dollar/Poland Zloty
USD/RON	United States Dollar/Romania Leu
USD/RUB	United States Dollar/Russia Ruble
USD/SEK	United States Dollar/Sweden Kroner
USD/SGD	United States Dollar/Singapore Dollar
USD/TRY	United States Dollar/Turkey Lira
USD/ZAR	United States Dollar/South Africa Rand